

Limerick PPN representatives on external committees are expected to prepare and sign a Statement of Outcomes for any meetings attended – one statement per committee, rather than one per representative.

This template is to help Limerick PPN representatives who sit on external committees to report effectively to their respective PPN Linkage Groups, the Secretariat and the wider PPN membership. Please complete the template and return to ppn@limerick.ie as soon as possible after the meeting.

Please keep the Statement of Outcomes as brief as possible and note it will be added to the Limerick PPN website.

1.	Name of Committee:	Implementation Advisory Group to consider Directly Elected	
		Mayor with Executive Functions in Limerick City & County.	
2.	Name of Linkage Group:	PPN Secretariat	
3.	Name of PPN	Cillian Flynn	
	Representative(s) on this		
	committee:		
4.	Date of committee	Thursday 03 September 2020	
	meeting:		
5.	Location of meeting:	Online	
6.	PPN representatives who	Cillian Flynn	
	attended this meeting:		
7.	Meeting Agenda (bullet	1. Additional Item: Minister of State Peter Burke T.D.,	
	points):	addresses the group	
		2. Agreement of the draft outputs and actions of the	
		meeting of 20 August and matters arising.	
		3. Consideration of Working papers previously circulated but	
		not yet considered	
		 WP5 – Removal and recall of the Mayor 	
		 WP6 – the mechanics of electing a mayor 	
		WP7 - The Budgetary Process	
		 WP3c – The role of the chief executive. 	
		4. Consideration of revised WP4 – Additionality and	
		Opportunity	
		5. Outline of the final report	
		Final Report Outline	
		 Introduction and Statement of vision from the Chair 	
		6. Date and of next meeting:	
		7. Any other business.	



Agenda	Discussion	Action	Specific input/influence
item	please give brief	please give brief details of actions agreed	of PPN
relevant	outline of the	or outcomes – being mindful of any	representative(s)
for	discussion in bullet	confidentiality requirements	Give brief details of
discussion	points – being	, 1	proposals or outcomes
	mindful of any		from PPN
	confidentiality		representation in the
	requirements		meeting
5.	With the agreement of	The outline final report was briefly summarised	CF asked if the Public
<i>J</i> .	the members, the Chair	and explained to the members.	Consultation Report as a
	moved the consideration	and explained to the members.	whole would be included in
	of the outline of the final	The Chair introduced the introduction and vision	the final report.
	report and the Chair's	document	-
	introduction and vision		It was confirmed that it will
	document (item 5 on the	The outline final report and the introduction and	be included ion the
	agenda) to the start of	vision document were broadly agreed, subject to	appendices.
	the meeting.	finalisation	
1.	Minister of State Peter	The Minister thanked everyone for their hard work	
1.	Burke visited the	on the DEM project. He stated his appreciation for	
	meeting briefly	the importance of this major reform to Limerick	
		City and County and looks forward to playing his	
		part in advancing the project.	
		The Chair welcomed the Minister and expressed	
		thanks on behalf of the Group. The Chair noted	
		that in spite of delays caused by the pandemic, the	
		work of the Group is progressing and that a final	
		report is being prepared.	
		Mayor Collins welcomed the Minister. He	
		expressed appreciation for the Minister's support	
		for the hard work carried out by the Group, and	
		hopes that the Group will be able to meet with the	
		Minister in person before the completion of the	
		DEM project.	
3.	Consideration of WP5 –	A presentation was given to the Group outlining	
	Removal and recall of the	the content of WP5	
	Mayor:	The following points were made in the ensuing discussion:	
		It was suggested that the period between	
		attempts to recall or remove a DEM should be 12	
		months	
		It was suggested that the proportion of	
		members necessary to pass a motion should be the	
		same for both recall and removal motions	
		It was felt that the language around grounds	
		for removing a DEM from office should be re-	
		examined to ensure that it is in line with existing	
		provisions relating to the removal of office-holders and officials from their positions	
		מומ סוווכומוס וויסווו נווכוו ביסונוטווס	



It was noted that difficulties could arise if a regulation to remove a Mayor was not passed by the Houses of the Oireachtas

WP5 was broadly agreed, subject to finalisation

Consideration of WP6 – The mechanics of electing a Mayor WP6 was introduced to the members It was clarified that the eligibility requirements for candidates for DEM were modelled on requirements for Teachtaí Dála.

It was suggested that, in view of the unique nature of the role of DEM and the onerous service-delivery obligations attached to the office, a higher number of proposed nominations should be required for a candidate to stand for election.

It was suggested that the residency requirements for nominators should be clarified in the context of the procedure for nominating candidates for DEM The proposed method of electing a DEM was considered, including the suggestion that, in line with jurisdictions like France and Germany, a two-stage election process might be used.

In such a system, if no candidate receives over 50% of the votes cast, a run-off election is subsequently held between the two highest-polling candidates to decide the election. The Secretariat undertook to discuss this proposal with Department's Franchise Section.

WP6 was broadly agreed, subject to finalisation

Consideration of WP7 – The budgetary process

The revised WP7 was introduced to the members It was noted that step 3 of the proposed budgetary process provides for 1 month, whereas current requirements under the Local Government Act 2001, as amended, are for 14 days. It was agreed that WP7 should be amended to reflect present requirements

WP7 was broadly agreed, subject to finalisation

Consideration of WP3c – The role of the chief executive It was suggested that WP3c should either state that the role of the chief executive would remain in Limerick City and County but would be redefined, or that it was going to be removed and replaced with a new office with a new title, such as Director General.

It was noted that the views of the CCMA should be sought on WP3c

The importance of ensuring that the role of chief executive (or any successor role) remains attractive



		to high-calibre candidates was discussed. It was suggested that in view of the enhanced complexity of the role when a DEM has been established, it might be appropriate to review and adjust upwards the conditions attached to the position WP3c was broadly agreed, subject to finalisation	
4	Consideration of the revised WP4 – Additionality and Opportunity	The changes made to WP4 were briefly summarised and explained to members. WP4 was agreed, subject to finalisation.	
7A	Public consultation report:	The Group was advised that it is hoped the public consultation report will be made available by Dr Adshead soon.	The importance of the public consultation and of a public information campaign to ensure proper public understanding of the role of DEM were noted
7B	Any Other Business	The Group agreed that the elected Council of Limerick City and County should be further consulted on the IAG's final report, including the findings of the public consultation exercise, before it is finalised. This is line with a commitment given to the elected members at workshops held during July. The Council agreed to consider the possibility of	
		organising such a consultation during the week of 21 September, in line with Government public health advice.	
6	Next Meeting	It was agreed that the next meeting of the Group will take place on 15 September	

NAME(S) PRINTED - OF EACH PPN	SIGNATURE(S)
REPRESENTATIVE WHO ATTENDED	If no signature possible, an email confirming the
THE MEETING	Representative's agreement to this Statement of
	Outcomes will be kept on file by Limerick PPN staff
1. Cillian Flynn	1.
2.	2.
3.	3.
4.	4.
5.	5.
6.	6.
7.	7.
8.	8.